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The refugee crisis engulfing the world right now with almost 60 million displaced\(^1\) is not a new phenomenon. It is only the latest wave of refugees who are fleeing conflict, persecution, destruction of their homes and livelihoods, and death. With over 4.39 million refugees (as of December 2015\(^2\)) and with another 7.6 million internally displaced (as of July 2015\(^3\)) in Syria, not only is the logistics of providing them protection and humanitarian assistance growing complex and suffering from a significant shortage of funds, but there is the increasing challenge of the religious dimensions of why people are fleeing from their homes. This impacts the assistance and protection that is provided them, as well as the policies and social attitudes that confront them in their host countries. While religious wars and persecution are not a new phenomenon, responding to them in the light of international standards such as the Red Cross Code of Conduct has challenged the accepted principle in humanitarian assistance of ensuring that the religious dimensions of the context do not hinder the aid that is provided.

**Humanitarian Principles and the Challenge of Religion**

The aid community has not always viewed faith and religion with suspicion. In the post World War II years, according to a 1953 study 90% of all post war relief was provided by religiously affiliated agencies.\(^4\) However, in the decades that followed the religious motivations for humanitarianism were replaced by a secular worldview,\(^5\) as religion came to be seen as a hindrance to progress.\(^6\) The suspicion (sometimes overtly stated) is that

---

\(^1\)^ “Worldwide displacement hits all-time high as war and persecution increase,” UNHCR The Refugee Agency, 18 June 2015, accessed January 8, 2016, http://www.unhcr.org/5581938896.html. This figure is from 2014 and would now be significantly higher as the number of Syrian refugees has grown in 2015.


local religious institutions would not be able to adhere to the humanitarian principles of impartiality and non-conditionality.\textsuperscript{7}

The first five principles of “The Code of Conduct for The International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement and NGOs in Disaster Relief”\textsuperscript{8} articulate the fundamental assumptions of humanitarian assistance being non-political and impartial in terms of religion, creed, race, and nationality. The emphasis is on aid being unconditional and based only on need. The only driver for the assistance should be the humanitarian imperative. Yet, this needs to be balanced with a respect for local culture and customs, which invariably includes religion, religious values and worldviews, and religious institutions in society.

Religion is an integral part of Arab society and life in the Middle East.\textsuperscript{9} Most scholars between World War II till the Iranian Revolution of 1979 did not see religion as a dynamic force within Arab society.\textsuperscript{10} While they acknowledged its reality as part of culture, with the rise of Arab nationalism, socialism, Zionism, and liberal thinking, they reckoned it as having a diminishing influence on Arab society, presuming that it would follow the path of the European Enlightenment. However, the last few decades has seen a rise in religiosity in the Arab world.\textsuperscript{11} There is little separation between religious institutions and its leaders and the State, with religion deeply meshed with the politics and structure of the modern state.\textsuperscript{12} National identity is not only defined by religion, but individuals have their religion stated on their identity cards.\textsuperscript{13} Public and private morality in a number of the countries is legislated through the sharia. While it varies in scope between the various countries, the State controls religious activity.\textsuperscript{14} Civil society and civil activism have deep religious roots dating back to Muslim reformers in the early centuries of Islam and Christian missions in the 1800s. Religious organizations and mission agencies (both Muslim and Christian) provide extensive social services in health, education and other charitable and development activities as an expression of their faith.

While Lebanon is probably the most politically and socially liberal Arab country in the Middle East, religion is a fundamental building block of society and politics. There are 18 officially recognized religious confessions in the country, and all citizens must belong to one.\textsuperscript{15} Political parties are primarily aligned along religious lines. While there are still

\begin{itemize}
  \item 1) The humanitarian imperative comes first. 2) Aid is given regardless of the race, creed or nationality of the recipients and without adverse distinction of any kind. Aid priorities are calculated on the basis of need alone. 3) Aid will not be used to further a particular political or religious standpoint. 4) We shall endeavor not to act as instruments of government foreign policy. 5) We shall respect culture and custom.
  \item Other than the activities of the Muslim Brotherhood and a few other smaller groups, political Islam was unknown during this period.
  \item Ibid, 214-221.
  \item Ibid, 221-223.
  \item In most countries, other than in Lebanon, a person’s religion on their ID card cannot be changed, implying there is no religious freedom and that religious conversions are not allowed.
  \item Lee and Shitrit, “Religion,” 228-231.
  \item Rupen Das and Julie Davidson, Profiles of Poverty: The Human Face Of Poverty In Lebanon (Beirut: Dar Manhal al Hayat, 2011), 40.
\end{itemize}
many mixed neighbourhood, one of the legacies of the 17-year civil war was the mass movements of populations resulting in the informal segregation of neighbourhoods according to religion and confession. Multi-religious or civil marriages are against the law and not recognized. Secularism and secular civil society have a very small foothold in the country.

Lihi and Shitrit summarize how deeply embedded religion is in the national psyches and the political realities of the Arab world. “At the moment, in the second decade of the twenty-first century, religion seems central to much that is happening in the region. States rely on it for identity and legitimacy; civil organizations use it to goad members into action; radical groups engage in violence in the name of religion; and individual Middle Easterners appear more committed to religion than had previous generations.” While the Arab Spring expressed the desire for secular non-sectarian democracy, the movements were either hijacked by radical Islamic groups or brutally suppressed by dictatorial regimes. Surprisingly, inspite of the resurgence of religion and religiosity in the Arab world, the nation state in its various permutations, however disempowered, still exists, rather than Islamic forms of governance.

While religion is deeply enmeshed in Arab society, a further complicating factor is the tribal mentality of much of society. Abu Zayd ‘Abd al-Rahman Ibn Muhammad Ibn Khaldun al- Hadhrami (known as Ibn Khaldun), the 14th Century Tunisian Arab historian and sociologist observed that, “only tribes held together by group feelings can live in the desert…” since the group ensured the survival and well being of the individual. Yet this obligation was always limited in practice to the immediate group, family or clan and very rarely beyond it. The reason for this is the concept of assabiyah, which Ibn Khaldun says refers to as group solidarity or groups consciousness.

A focus on group cohesion ensures the survival of the group but in the process excludes the outsider or other groups. The implication of this is that during times of crisis, the groups defined by tribe or clan and religion will help their immediate members to the exclusion of those who do not belong. Every religious group has local charitable organizations that address the needs of group members primarily.

With religion so deeply embedded in society, the challenge for humanitarian agencies is to understand how to navigate the attitudes of exclusion as well as the social and political realities.

---

16 Lee and Shitrit, “Religion,” 244.
18 Bruce Malina writes about collectivistic societies, “Should a group member fall ill, the goal of an individual's healing is group well-being. Focus is on the ingroup, cooperation with ingroup members, maintenance of ascribed status, and group-centered values.” Bruce J. Malina, “Collectivism in Mediterranean Culture,” Understanding the Social World Of The New Testament, eds. Richard E. DeMaris and Dietmar Neufeld (London: Routledge, 2010), 23.
19 Assabiyah is what binds society, family, tribe, religion and nation. It gives people a sense of belonging and ensures stability of institutions in the community. It is the driving force behind all social change. The fear is that a loss of group cohesion as described by assabiyah will result in the destruction of the community. Fida Muhammad at the Eastern New Mexico University writes, “Disintegration of collective consciousness creates anomie (moral deregulation)...Loss of assabiyah will also create moral and economic individualism, but will end up in the destruction of a civilization.” Fida Mohammad, "Ibn Khaldun's Theory of Social Change: A Comparison Between Hegel, Marx And Durkheim," The American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences 15, No. 2 (Summer 1998): 34-37.
institutional realities of religion, while being impartial and unconditional in the aid that is provided.

**Moving Beyond the Tribal Mentality**

In understanding the religious landscape of the context, the question is whether there are institutions within the community that have credibility with the local population and have the reach within the community through which humanitarian assistance could be provided. In Lebanon, where the Government has not allowed for the establishment of formal refugee camps for Syrian refugees, the refugees are scattered in communities across the country, living in any kind of formal or informal structure that they could access. This required institutions in the community who knew where the refugees were living, as well as knowing how to navigate the range of attitudes towards the refugees. The local municipality did not have the capacity or the resources to be actively involved. In the medium to smaller communities there were no secular civil society organizations. The only institutions were the mosques and churches, which were focal points in the community for religious and social activities. The question was whether both types of religious institutions could reach beyond their constituency and operate with impartiality and unconditionality.

In Lebanon, the presence of the international humanitarian community and the large NGOs in response to the Syrian refugee crisis mitigated against the tribal mentality mentioned earlier, in that they provided humanitarian assistance to those in need regardless of their religious or confessional affiliation. The challenge for many of these agencies was to find local partners (and staff) who could go beyond their social and religious affiliation and who knew the local community. Most of the local partners (NGOs) were limited in their capacity to address needs beyond their immediate group of existing clients and beneficiaries.

Social scientists acknowledge religious institutions such as churches, mosques, and temples are an integral part of communities. As social institutions in the community they have obligations to that community. They not only address matters of spirituality but also provide and build social capital, besides being venues through which social services are provided. Plamen Sivov asks whether institutions such as churches can have a role as agents of community development, when previously the welfare state provided services and managed change. While acknowledging that the local church as an institutional service provider has no distinct advantage compared to other NGOs or the government, Sivov instead describes the local church as a distinct community. He writes, “Whenever a communal spirit, high level of personal motivation or a personal approach to the sometimes dehumanized ‘target groups’ is needed, the church has a lot to offer. The church cannot compete on the grounds of quantity, but it has no match on the grounds of quality or holistic personalized approach, when it comes to provision of different kinds of care for the vulnerable groups.” Besides knowledge of the community, it is this communal spirit and holistic approach to human beings, if properly trained, could prove vital in addressing the needs of dehumanized refugees.

---

20 Sivov was writing about his experience in Bulgaria as it transitioned to a post-Soviet society.
Response to the Syrian Refugee Crisis

As the Syrian refugee crisis in Lebanon escalated starting in April 2011, many wondered whether the churches had a role in responding to the humanitarian needs. The largest churches such as the Catholics responded through their institutionally affiliated aid agencies such as Caritas and Jesuit Relief Serves (JRS) and the Orthodox through International Orthodox Christian Charities (IOCC). The smaller Protestant churches had no specific institutional relief agency assisting them. The institutional arm of the Baptist churches in the country, a small NGO called Lebanese Society for Educational and Social Development (LSESD) at the start of the Syrian refugee crisis decided to provide support to individual churches (not just Baptist) that were interested in responding to the needs of the Syrian refugees. This was strategic as many of these churches were in small communities or in parts of the city with which the large international NGOs were not familiar. The challenge was to get these churches to move beyond their tribal mentality of taking care of only their own.

LSESD worked with the pastors and many of the key local church leadership in training and mentoring them on how to respond to the humanitarian need by setting up systems to identify beneficiaries and also track the commodities and report on them. The focus was also on being impartial and unconditional when providing assistance. Beneficiaries had to be identified only based on need and not on the basis of religion or clan. This was not an easy process because of the deep hatred many Lebanese harbored towards the Syrian due to the atrocities committed by the Syrian army and their allies during their occupation of Lebanon during the civil war. One church lost 85% of its members when the pastor and the leadership decided to help Syrian refugees. There also had to be no conditionality, such as requiring the beneficiaries to attend religious activities or take any literature. This went against the grain of what many churches thought they should be doing. Being evangelistic was part of their identity.

The training and mentoring of local church leadership proved invaluable as many of the churches began to understand their role in the community and the need to respond to the humanitarian imperative with impartiality and no conditionality. Many of these churches were also able to provide community and emotional support for refugees who had lost their extended families. The added benefit was to be able to utilize motivated volunteers from within the church community. The churches were strongly advised to build relationships with key Islamic leaders and Imams in their areas. Many were able to do this and it was a critical step in peacebuilding in a country already deeply divided along sectarian lines and now feeling the tensions of the conflict spilling over from Syria.22

Conclusion

While this initiative did not address the underlying issues of the conflict in the region, by getting many churches to be able to see beyond their sectarian community and providing assistance with impartiality and no conditionality in a highly religious context, it was

---

22 This was intentionally planned as part of Track II diplomacy of non-state actors in a conflict zone maintaining contact and conducting confidence-building activities.
possible to get them to see the value of international humanitarian standards.\textsuperscript{23} This required considerable training and mentoring, and came at a cost to the local church community. However, it is acknowledged that working through local religious institutions is a significant challenge, but one that needs to be explored further in contexts such as the Middle East.
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